HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook

February 1997

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

February 1997

CONTENTS

Section 1	Executive Summary	1-1
Section 2	Facility Description	2-1
Section 3	Compliance Status	3-1
Section 4	Remedial Actions	4-1
Section 5	Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries	5-1
Section 6	Annual Operating Expenditures	6-1

List of Tables

Table

- Summary Program Information for South Santiam Hatchery Fall Chinook
- 2 Compliance with Performance Measures: South Santiam Hatchery Fall Chinook
- Remedial Actions Required at South Santiam Hatchery Fall Chinook
- 4 Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: South Santiam Hatchery Fall Chinook
- 5 Annual Operating Expenses: South Santiam Hatchery Fall Chinook

6 Annual Operating Expenses - South Santiam Hatchery

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook program. The hatchery is located on the South Santiam River just downstream from Foster Dam. The hatchery is used for adult collection, egg incubation, and rearing of Fall Chinook and summer steelhead. Stayton Pond, a satellite facility, is used for the rearing of fall Chinook.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) ÒStrategy for SalmonÓ and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit. IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility managementÕs response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Results

The South Santiam facility includes one pond for adult holding, 14 concrete BurrowÕs ponds, and incubation facilities. The hatchery is funded by both the state of Oregon and the U.S. Army

Corps of Engineers to mitigate for fish losses caused by development of Foster and Green Peter dams.

The South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery did not have a smolt-to-adult goal and was not meeting its fry-to-smolt and production goals. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the water quality monitoring requirements, alarm requirements, and feed preparation tests, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery needed a new outlet structure with double screening, improved predation control, new feed storage and freezer, and rearing or acclimation in the subbasins. The hatchery needed to develop a smoltification goal, a smoltification monitoring program, and specific rearing standards. The hatchery was not in compliance with all the disinfection protocols involved with transportation.

The specific areas in which the South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Develop smolt-to-adult goal for IHOT Operations Plan
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific rearing standards for IHOT Operations Plan
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transportation equipment and personnel before and after use
- Follow IHOT protocols for the disinfection of the interiors and exteriors of transport vehicles
- Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transportation
- Improve predation control at Stayton Pond
- Monitor DO and TGP and record
- Provide feed storage and freezer
- Provide rearing or acclimation for the Mill Creek and Molalla components

- Review alarm requirements for the large rearing pond and install the required alarms
- Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite,
 and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name: South Santiam Fish Hatchery

Stock/Species: Spring Chinook

Fall Chinook

Summer Steelhead

Operating Agency: Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife

Funding Agency: COE

ODF&W

Location: The hatchery is located on the South Santiam River just downstream

from Foster Dam.

Address: 43182 North River Road

Sweet Home, OR 97386

Hatchery Manager: Mr. Victor Shawe Phone: (541) 367-3437

Fax: (541) 367-4399

Purpose: The hatchery is funded by both the state of Oregon and the U.S. Army

Corps of Engineers (COE). The COEOs obligation is to mitigate for

fish losses caused by development of Foster and Green Peter dams.

The COE mitigation agreement requires the annual production of no

more than 71,000 lb of juvenile Fall Chinook and steelhead. The fall

chinook production goal involves the production of lower river fall

chinook that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin commercial and sport fisheries.

Production Goal:

Spring Chinook

Produce 300,000 smolts (33,340 lb) for release into the South Santiam River.

Provide a total of 3,257,200 eggs to Willamette Hatchery, McKenzie Hatchery, and OregonÕs Salmon and Trout Enhancement Program.

Rear 545,000 smolts (36,333 lb) for transfer to Clackamas Hatchery (Clackamas Stock)

Acclimate 434,000 smolts (48,222 lb) for release into the South Santiam River

Fall Chinook

Rear 8,160,000 smolts (148,400 lb) for release into Mill Creek, Molalla River, North Santiam River and the Columbia River.

Summer Steelhead

Produce 144,000 smolts (32,000 lb) for release into the South Santiam River.

Produce 40,500 smolts (9,000 lb) for release into the North Santiam River.

Provide 1,425,000 eggs to Bonneville and Oak Springs hatcheries. Water Supply:

The hatchery currently receives water from Foster Reservoir. A total of

8,400 gpm is available for the rearing units. An additional 5,500 gpm

is used in the adult holding pond.

Facilities:

Adult Holding: 1 asphalt adult holding pond

Incubation: 30 full stack vertical tray incubators (480 trays)

Early Rearing: None

10 concrete BurrowÕs ponds - 4,147 cf each Raceways:

4 concrete BurrowÕs ponds - 5,022 cf each

None Rearing Ponds:

Satellite Facilities: **Stayton Ponds**

1 earth/gravel pond - 13,920,400 cf

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin*Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (referred to as IHOT 1995 in this report).

The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1 Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure

Information (PMs General 1-2)

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit

This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the South Santiam Hatchery was conducted on February 3-4, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.

- 3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of South Santiam Hatchery -

Fall Chinook

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (4) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- Yes (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

 Table 1 Summary Program Information for South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook

Component		Location	of Adult Holding, Sp	awning, Incubation,	and Rearing	
_	Bonneville	Stayton Pond		_	-	
	Hatchery					
Adult Collection	4					
Adult Holding	4					
Spawning	4					
Fertilization	4					
Incubation						
green-to-eyed	4					
eyed-to-hatch	4					
Rearing						
fry		4				
fingerlings		4				
smolts		4				
Acclimation/release		4				

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statı	1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#1	Are the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin		4			Columbia Basin System Planning	
	management plan?					Production Plan and Santiam and	
						Calapoola Subbasin Fish Management	
						Plan	
#2	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery		4			IHOT Operations Plan, South Santiam	
	operational plan?					Operations Hatchery Manual, and ODFW	
						Production Schedule	
	Is it understood by staff?		4				
	Is it being followed?		4				
#3	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
	Do you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?		4			CWT program and Missing Groups Reports	
#4a	Adult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and		4			Review of records	
	hatchery						

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#4b	Adult pre-spawning survival as compared with	4				At Bonneville Hatchery	
	established goal						
#4c	Egg-take as compared with established hatchery goal	4				At Bonneville Hatchery	
#4d	Green-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with	4				At Bonneville Hatchery	
	established goal						
#4e	Eyed-egg to fry survival as compared with established	4				At Bonneville Hatchery	
	goal						
#4f	Fry to smolt survival as compared with established				4	Review of records; in compliance 2 out	Improve predation control at Stayton
	goal					of last 3 years; bird predation	Pond
#4g	Production as compared with established goal				4	Review of records; in compliance 2 out	See above
						of last 3 years; bird predation	
#4h	Percent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with			4		No goal	Develop smolt-to-adult goal for IHOT
	established goal						Operations Plan
#4i	Number of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults	4				Review of records/Discussion	
	to meet basinwide needs						

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		27/4	.			Non-Compliance	Compliance
11.5		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5a	Temperature						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for	4				No spawning on station	
	spawning?						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for	4				No incubation on station	
	incubation?						
	medoution.						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for		4		<u>.</u>	Review of records/Discussion	
#5b	rearing? Dissolved gases						
1130	Dissolved gases						
	Is the average level man activistica?			4	ļ	No data	Monitor DO and record
	Is the oxygen level near saturation?			4		No data	Monitor Do and record
	Lada disala disana landa la dan astantin 2					N. La	Maritan TCD and accord
	Is the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			4		No data	Monitor TGP and record
	CI						
#5c	Chemistry						
	Ammonia (un-ionized)			4		No data	Run analysis for water chemistry
							parameters
	Carbon Dioxide			4		See above	See above
	Chlorine			4		See above	See above
	pH			4		See above	See above
	Copper			4		See above	See above
	Hydrogen Sulfide			4		See above	See above
	Iron			4	I	See above	See above

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
	Zinc			4		See above	See above
#5d	Turbidity						
	Does your turbidity meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		27/1			T	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5e	Alkalinity and hardness						
	Does your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis
#5f	Nitrite						
	Does your nitrite meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis
#5g	Contaminants						
	Aldrin			4		Run analysis	Run analysis
	Endrin			4		See above	See above
	Dieldrin			4		See above	See above
	Heptachlor			4		See above	See above
	Chlordane			4		See above	See above
	Methoxychlor			4		See above	See above
	Lindane			4		See above	See above
	Malathion			4		See above	See above
	Guthion			4		See above	See above
#5h	Pathogens						
	What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?						
	portions of the intensity have also ase free which.						
	Adult holding	1				No adult holding on station	
	Incubation Incubation	4				No incubation on station	
		4					
	Early rearing	4				No early rearing on station	

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Rearing				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
Others				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					I	Non-Compliance	Compliance
11.6		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#6	Alarm Systems						
	Do the fellowing areas have planned					No alarms	Design along requirements for this large
	Do the following areas have alarms?					No alarms	Review alarm requirements for this large
							rearing pond
	Intake				4	Discussion	See above
	Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds				4	Discussion	See above
	Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds	4				No raceway headboxes and rearing ponds	
	Incubation facilities	4				No incubation facilities	
	Quarantine areas and facilities	4				No quarantine areas and facilities	
	Water treatment systems	4				No water treatment systems	
	Security				4	Discussion	See above
	Are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite				4	Discussion	See above
	residences?						
	Are water flow alarms checked daily?				4	Discussion	See above
	Are all other alarms checked weekly?				4	Discussion	See above
	Is there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and				4	Discussion	See above
	maintenance requirements?						
	Are telephone pagers used?				4	Discussion	See above

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#7	Adult collection and holding facilities						
	Do you meet the adult holding criteria?	4				Adult holding at Bonneville Hatchery	

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ıce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#8	Incubation facilities	17/14	Tes	•	140		
	Type 1: Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?	4				No incubation for this program	
	Type 2: Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?	4				See above	
#9	Rearing facilities Type 1: Large Rearing Pond Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 2: Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?	4					
	Type 3: Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?	4					

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#10	Screening facilities						
	Do you meet the approach velocity criteria?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are the fish screens regularly cleaned?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are rearing containers double screened for fish that	4				All released from Stayton Pond	
#11	should not be released to adjacent water? Predator control facilities						
#11	Are your predation control facilities effective?				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Provide predator control at Stayton Pond

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	C	Compliar	ice Stati	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No		Compliance
#12	Food storage facilities and quality control	IVA	Tes	•	140		
	Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	(dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture)						
	follow food manufacturerÕs recommendations?						
	Does a regional quality control officer oversee						
	production procedures and monitor:						
	Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients				4	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed
	meet specifications?						preparation
	Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or				4	Discussion	See above
	other additives?						
	Analyze ingredients contained in the final food				4	Discussion	See above
	product to ensure that feed specifications have been						
	met?						
	Are the foods stored and handled according to the						
	following criteria?						

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of			4		Discussion	Provide feed storage and freezer
delivery.						
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just			4		Discussion	See above
prior to feeding.						
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers		4			Discussion	
outside exposed to light or heat.						
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days		4			Discussion	
except when feeding small groups of fish.						
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage	4				Do not use automatic hoppers or bulk	
facilities should be insulated against excessive					storage	
temperatures (80°F and above).						

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#13	Release facilities						
	Do the release facilities ensure that fish are not		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	subjected to adverse conditions?						
#14	Pollution abatement facilities						
	Do the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?						
	Are pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		4			Discussion	
#15	Transportation facilities						
	Are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	performance measures for transportation practices?						

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#16	Broodstock selection practices	N/A	ies	<u> </u>	NO		
	Is the donor selection process document attached? (PM #40a)	4				Existing program; does not apply	
	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	4				Existing program; does not apply	
#17	Spawning practices Were the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)	4				Spawning at Bonneville Hatchery	
#18	Incubation practices Are specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery operations plan?	4				Incubation at Bonneville Hatchery	
	Are incubation practices written?	4					
	Incubation Type 1: (see PM #8) Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?	4					

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Incubation Type 2: (see PM #8)	4				
Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?					

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			ı	ı		Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#19	Rearing practices						
						D : HOTH (1 O C D	
	Are specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery				4	Review IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan	Develop specific rearing standards for the
	operations plan?					and Hatchery O&M Manual	IHOT Operations Plan
	Are rearing practices written?				4	Review Hatchery Operations Plan	See above
	Rearing Unit Type 1: 14 acre pond						
	(see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?			4		Review of records/Discussion	See above
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?			4		Review of records/Discussion	See above
	Rearing Unit Type 2: (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	4				Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	4				Review of records/Discussion	
	Rearing Unit Type 3: (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?	4				Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	4				Review of records/Discussion	
#20	Smolt quality						
	Do you produce a high quality smolt?		4			Discussion	

Table 2	South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook	Compliance With Performance Measures

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	nance Measure Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			1			Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#21	Fish health management practices						
	Are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	conducted? (PM #26)						
	,						
	Are the annual broodstock inspections being		4		<u> </u> 	Review of records/Discussion	
	conducted? (PM #27)						
	conducted: (I IV #27)						
	Is there pathogen-free water (PM #5h)and are the				4	No pathogen-free water	See PM #5h
					·		
	sanitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)						
	Are the following water quality parameters within						
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
	criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	Water temperature		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Dissolved gases			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b
	Chemistry			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
	Are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)		4			Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
(PM #31)						

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			ı	1		Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a	Does hatchery performance meet requirements						
	outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in						
	subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
#22a1	Percent smoltification						
	Do you measure percent smoltification?				4	Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor
	Do you have a smoltification goal				4	Discussion	See above
	Did you meet the smoltification criteria?			4		Discussion	See above
#22a2	Rearing density (prior to release)						
	Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	release?						
#22a3	Disease condition (at release)						
	Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	release?						
#22a4	Number (at release)						
	Did you meet the release number goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a5	Size at release						
	Did you meet the size goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a6	Dates of release						
	Did you meet the release date goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a7	Location of release						
	Did you release the fish at the specified location?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22b	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the						
	subbasin?						
	Are the fish reared in the subbasin?				4	Discussion	Provide rearing or acclimation in the
							subbasin
	Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?				4	Discussion	See above
#22c	Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statı	us	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#23	Transportation facilities	IN/A	168	•	NU		
	Do transportation equipment and personnel receive disinfection before and after use?				4	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transportation equipment and
	Is the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of		4			Discussion	personnel before and after use
	200 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or						
	formaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity						
	of 60% for 2 hrs)?						
	Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected				4	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for the
	using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high						disinfection of the interiors and exteriors
	temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30						of transport vehicles
	minutes?						
	Is the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600				4	Discussion	See above
	ppm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50%						
	stock solution/liter water)?						
	Is other equipment disinfected including fish pumps,		4			Discussion	
	nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and						
	other equipment using one of the following solutions?						

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes						
600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30						
minutes						
200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes		4			Discussion	
Do personnel wear protective garments when handling		4			Discussion	
fish eggs or cultural water?						
rish eggs of cultural water?						
Do the fish to a section at the section and to all fruit as a size		4			Diamarian	
Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive		4			Discussion	
an inspection and service prior to the release season?						
Is a daily service inspection completed before starting		4			Discussion	
up and leaving for the day?						
· · ·						

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	3 7	?	N ₁ -	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#23	Transportation facilities	N/A	Yes	•	No		
(cont)	-						
	Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior		4			Discussion	
	to loading?						
	Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water		4			Discussion	
	level, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system						
	settings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling						
	density tables checked and reviewed occur prior to						
	loading fish in the transport unit?						
	Do hauling criteria include checking the fish 45		4			Discussion	
	minutes to 1 hour after loading?						
	When fish are active and systems are functioning		4			Discussion	
	properly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and						
	maintained at approximately 8 ppm?						
	Is water temperature in the transportation unit				4	Discussion	Follow IHOT temperature criteria for
	maintained within the 42-48 °F range?						transportation

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Do fish releasing procedures include the following						
criteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.		4			Discussion	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.		4			Discussion	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.		4			Discussion	

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#24	Evaluation practices				2.0		
	Has the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies to:						
	Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?		4			Discussion	
	Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?		4			Discussion	
	Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?		4			Discussion	
	Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Compliance Status		1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#25	Training practices						
	Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status			Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#26	Are monthly hatchery monitoring visits being	IV/A	165	•	110		
	conducted by a qualified fish health specialist as						
	described below?						
	Conduct visit at least monthly		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Examine a representative sample of healthy and moribund fish from each lot.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Report finding and results of necropsies on standard form.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release or transfer to another facility.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#27	Are all of the functions of the hatchery yearly monitoring visits being completed as described below?						

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of		4			Review of records/Discussion
reportable viral pathogens.					
Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .		4			Review of records/Discussion
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified fish health specialist.		4			Review of records/Discussion

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	C	Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					I	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#28	Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation	N/A	Yes	?	No		
20	procedures?						
	Are there any sources of pathogen-free water,	4				Incubation and early rearing at	
	especially for incubation and early rearing?					Bonneville Hatchery	
	Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and						
	being followed as described below?						
	Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?	4				See above	
	Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the	4				See above	
	incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit?						
	Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock	4				See above	
	handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use						
	elsewhere in the hatchery?						
	Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior	4				See above	
	its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?						

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer		4			Discussion	
fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with						
any other fish lots or at any other location?						
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed	4				14 acre earthen pond	
and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?						
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	18	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#29	Are water quality parameters being followed?	IV/A	165	•	140		
	Are the following water quality parameters within criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	Water temperature Dissolved gases Chemistry Turbidity Alkalinity and hardness Nitrite Contaminants		4	4 4 4 4 4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b See PM #5c See PM #5d See PM #5e See PM #5f See PM #5f
	Go to PM #21						
#30	Are incubation and rearing standards being followed? Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)	4				Incubation at Bonneville Hatchery	
	Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19) Go to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#31	Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?	4				Bonneville Hatchery responsible for this	

Table 2	South Santiam Hatchery -	· Fall Chinook	Compliance With Performance Measures

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#32	Is the hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin		4			Columbia Basin System Planning	
	management plan?					Production Plan and Santiam and	
						Calapoola Subbasin Plan	
	Go to subbasin plan PM #1						
#33	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery		4			Review IHOT Operations Plan, South	
	operational plan?					Santiam Operations Hatchery Manual,	
						and ODFW Production Schedule	
	Go to operational plan PM #2						
#34	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?		4			CWT and Missing Production Groups	
						Report	
	Go to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3		•				

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		DT/A	3 7	?	l N	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#35	Does the hatchery program meet requirements	N/A	Yes		No		
#33							
	established in the regional hatchery policies and						
	subbasin planning documents in the following areas:						
	species, stock, broodstock collection location,						
	broodstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy,						
	and spawning and egg-take protocols?						
	Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for						
	the following?						
	Species protocols (PM #1)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Stock protocols (PM #1)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b	4				Broodstock collection and spawning at	
	for existing program; PM #39b for new program)					Bonneville Hatchery	
	Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)	4				See above	
	Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-	4				See above	
	d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)						
		4				See above	

 Table 2 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)	4				See above	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)	4				See above	

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#36	Does the hatchery's performance meet requirements outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas: percent smoltification, rearing density, disease condition, and the number, size date(s), and location of release?		733				
	Percent smoltification (PM #22a1)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
	Rearing density (PM #22a2)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Disease condition (PM #22a3)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Number at release (PM #22a4)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Size at release (PM #22a5)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Date of release (PM #22a6)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Location of release (PM #22a7)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#37	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the				4	Discussion	See PM #22b
	subbasin?						
	See PM #22b						
#38	Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	
	See PM #22c						

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
		27/4	*7			Non-Compliance	Compliance
#39	For new programs, has a broodstock collection plan	N/A	Yes	?	No		
	been developed?						
#39a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a non-captive broodstock program:	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
#39c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a captive broodstock program:						
#39d	Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39e	Were full-sib crosses avoided?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39f	Is the broodstock collection plan understood and being followed by staff?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
#40	For a new program, was the donor selection outline					
	followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?					
#40a	Is a donor selection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
#40b	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the broodstock?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
#40c	Was the target stock recommended in the donor selection process actually used?	4				Existing Program; does not apply

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			I	1	T	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#41	For existing programs, were the broodstock collection						
	procedures followed?						
		,					
#41a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?	4				Broodstock collected at Bonneville	
						Hatchery	
	Does the broodstock collection plan follow the						
	guideline:						
#41b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?	4				See above	See above
#41c	Was the recommended number of broodstock	4				See above	See above
	collected?						
#41d	Were the broodstock collection procedures in	4				See above	See above
	hatchery operation plan understood and followed?						
	,						

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used?	N/A	Yes			N. C. II	C 11
			?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
ratios and fartilization protocols used?						
ratios, and fertilization protocols used:						
Are the spawning protocols written?	4				Spawning at Bonneville Hatchery	
Are daily or weekly spawning logs available?	4				See above	
Was the appropriate number of spawners used?	4				See above	
Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and	4				See above	
randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits?						
Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards?	4				See above	
Were the fertilization protocols followed?	4				See above	
If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of each male/female cross?	4				See above	
	Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? 4 If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? 4 Was the appropriate number of spawners used? 4 Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? 4 If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? 4 If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? 4 If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of

 Table 2
 South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#43	Is there a genetics monitoring and evaluation program						
	in place?						
	Is a genetics monitoring and evaluation program available?	4				Adult return to Bonneville Hatchery	
	Does the plan address the following elements listed in IHOT:						
	Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?	4					
	Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?	4					
	Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?	4					
	Is the program understood and followed by staff?	4					

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Туре	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance
	Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly
	definable at this time

Remedial Actions at South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action.

Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human		
control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery None		
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or		
procedures		
Develop smolt-to-adult goal for IHOT Operations Plan		4h
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation		12
Develop specific rearing standards for IHOT Operations Plan		19
Develop smoltification goal and monitor		22a1
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transportation equipment and		23
personnel before and after use		
Follow IHOT protocols for the disinfection of the interiors and exteriors		23
of transport vehicles		
Follow IHOT temperature criteria for transportation		23
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage		
or interval		
Monitor DO and TGP and record		5b
Run analysis for water chemistry parameters, turbidity, alkalinity,		5c-5g
hardness, nitrite, and contaminants		

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs²
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Improve predation control at Stayton Pond	\$1.0	4f-4g, 11
	million	
Review alarm requirements for this large rearing pond and install the	\$12,000	6
required alarms		
Provide feed storage and freezer (120 sf)	\$24,000	12
Provide rearing or acclimation for Millcreek and Molalla components	\$2.0	22b, 37
	million	
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital		
expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
None		

Hatchery Contribution to

Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:

South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook

Year	Fisheries	Spawning Grounds ¹	Hatchery ¹	Total Combined Contribution	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	
1981					
1982					

Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

1983			
1984		11,635	0.13%
1985		479	0.0049%
1986			
1987			
1988			
1989			
1990			
1991			
1992			

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Tables 5a and 5b).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook

Hatchery	1994	1995	1996
South Santiam Hatchery	\$312,855	\$225,057	\$157,234
2. Bonneville Hatchery	\$686,742	\$670,722	\$726,768
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$999,597	\$895,779	\$884,002

The total expenditures for the South Santiam Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a, 6b, and 6c).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - South Santiam Hatchery

Program	1994	1995	1996
Spring Chinook	\$253,875	\$240,914	\$270,157
Summer Steelhead	\$175,694	\$164,666	\$198,865
3. Fall Chinook	\$312,855	\$225,057	\$157,234
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$742,424	\$630,637	\$626,256

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook

Expenditure Occurring at South Santiam Hatchery

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs			
Source of Funds			
COE			
ODFW			
Program Production (lb)			
Total Production (lb)			
Program as Percent of Total			
Program Costs	\$312,855	\$225,057	\$157,234

Table 5b. Annual Operating Expenses: South Santiam Hatchery - Fall Chinook

Expenditure Occurring at Bonneville Hatchery

Component	1992	1993	1994
Personnel Costs⁴			
Operational Costs ⁴			
Capital Costs⁴			
Indirect Costs⁴			
Lumped Hatchery Costs⁵	\$1,039,530	\$1,010,404	\$1,112,305
Lumped Third Party Costs ⁶	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000
Total Hatchery Costs	\$1,339,530	\$1,310,404	\$1,412,305
Source of Funds			
NMFS	55%	55%	55%
COE	45%	45%	45%
Program Production (lb)			
Total Production (lb)			
Program as Percent of Total	55%	55%	55%
Program Costs	\$686,742	\$670,722	\$726,768

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at South Santiam Hatchery by Program

Spring Chinook

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$172,561	\$166,730	\$188,001
Operational Costs	\$232,905	\$257,529	\$284,689
Capital Costs	\$10,776	\$2,888	\$3,525
Indirect Costs	\$65,810	58,044	\$69,797
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$429,569	\$405,580	\$469,022
Source of Funds			
COE	30%	30%	30%
ODFW	70%	70%	70%
Program Production (lb)	60,000	60,000	60,000
Total Production (lb)	101,452	100,968	104,100
Program as Percent of Total	59.1%	59.4%	57.6%
Program Costs	\$253,875	\$240,914	\$270,157

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at South Santiam Hatchery by Program

Summer Steelhead

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$172,561	\$166,730	\$188,001
Operational Costs	\$232,905	\$257,529	\$284,689
Capital Costs	\$10,776	\$2,888	\$3,525
Indirect Costs	\$65,810	58,044	\$69,797
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$429,569	\$405,580	\$469,022
Source of Funds			
COE	30%	30%	30%
ODFW	70%	70%	70%
Program Production (lb)	41,452	40,968	44,100
Total Production (lb)	101,452	100,968	104,100
Program as Percent of Total	40.6%	40.6%	40.6%
Program Costs	\$175,694	\$164,666	\$198,865

Table 6c. Detailed Expenditures at South Santiam Hatchery by Program

Fall Chinook

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs			
Source of Funds			
COE	30%	30%	30%
ODFW	70%	70%	70%
Program Production (lb)			
Total Production (lb)			
Program as Percent of Total			
Program Costs	\$312,855	\$225,057	\$157,234

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT

performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.